Application of the 'Direct Amide Cyclization' to Peptides Containing an Anthranilic Acid Residue

by Irena Philipova¹), Anthony Linden, and Heinz Heimgartner*

Organisch-chemisches Institut der Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich

Dedicated to Professor Rolf Huisgen on the occasion of his 85th birthday

The 2,2-disubstituted 2H-azirin-3-amines $7a-7c$ were used as amino acid synthons to prepare linear peptides derived from anthranilic acid. These linear peptides, which contain α , α -disubstituted α -amino acids, were synthesized by using the 'azirine/oxazolone method' (Schemes 2–5) and were subjected to the acidcatalyzed 'direct amide cyclization'. Unfortunately, all attempts to isolate the ten-membered cyclotripeptides, by starting with different precursors, failed. Instead of the expected cyclotripeptides, the corresponding 1,3a,7 triazabenz[e]azulene-3,6-diones 12 and 17 (Schemes 2 and 3) were obtained in up to 44% yield. Most likely, these products were formed by a transannular ring contraction of the desired products, followed by dehydration. In the case of the linear precursor 24, the 20-membered cyclodimer 25 was formed in 50% yield, together with traces of the 1,3a,7-triazabenz[e]azulene-3,6-dione 27 and the completely unexpected quinazolinone 26 (Scheme 5). In the cases of the linear precursors 30 and 34, no ring closure was observed under the conditions of the 'direct amide cyclization', which indicates the limitations of this method.

Introduction. - Non-proteinogenic amino acids and their peptides are of significant interest with respect to their biological activity. For example, a,a -disubstituted α -amino acids considerably restrict the conformational freedom of peptides because of the presence of the tetrasubstituted $C(a)$ -atom. Therefore, the incorporation of one or several α , α -disubstituted α -amino acids is a common method for introducing rigidity into the peptide backbone. One procedure for the introduction of such amino acids into peptides is the so-called 'azirine/oxazolone method', in which 2,2-disubstituted 2Hazirin-3-amines are used as amino acid synthons [1]. This strategy has been employed widely in the synthesis of linear oligopeptides $[2-9]$, peptaibols $[10-13]$, and endothiopeptides [14] [15]. A further application is the synthesis of conformationally restricted cyclic depsipeptides $[16 - 20]$ and cyclic peptides $[17][21 - 24]$ by using a combination of the $^{\circ}$ azirine/oxazolone method^o and the so-called $^{\circ}$ direct amide cyclization'. The concept of this cyclization method is outlined in Scheme 1: condensation of a carboxylic acid, bearing a nucleophilic group, with a 2H-azirin-3 amine 1 leads to the corresponding diamide of type 2, which, on treatment with dry HCl gas, affords the corresponding 1,3-oxazol-5($4H$)-one 3. Under the acidic conditions, this intermediate can be attacked by an external nucleophile to produce 4 via ring opening. In the absence of an external nucleophile, suitable substrates are able to undergo an intramolecular nucleophilic attack and yield the ring-enlarged product of type 5 [17].

© 2005 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

¹) Postdoctoral stay at the University of Zürich, 2000 - 2002; present address: Institute of Organic Chemistry, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G. Bonchev Street, Block 9, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria.

Natural cyclic peptides have been studied extensively in recent years, and the synthesis of cyclopeptide analogues continues to be of great interest $[25][26]$. Stabilization of specific backbone conformations in such peptides by the incorporation of fragments with restricted molecular freedom has become a focus of increasing attention [27]. Conformationally restricted structures can be obtained by using nonpeptidal fragments of low conformational flexibility [28]. For example, the presence of an ortho-aminobenzoyl (anthraniloyl) moiety should decrease the molecular flexibility as a result of the rigid aromatic skeleton. A few examples of cyclic peptides with an anthranilic acid (Ant) residue are known [29] [30]. Two such peptides, which contain 5-methylanthranilic acid (MeAnt), i.e., cyclo(Phe-MeAnt-Gly_n) ($n = 4$ and 6, resp.) have been synthesized to study their hydrolysis with α chymotrypsin [30]. With the aim of investigating the influence of the nonpeptidal amino acids, two cyclic peptides, $cyclo(Ant-Gly)$, and $cyclo(Ant-Gly)$ ₃, have been prepared [29]. The synthesis and conformation of ten-membered cyclotripeptides containing a β -amino acid have also been studied extensively [31–35]. They have been obtained by incorporating the β -amino acid residue into a diketopiperazine *via* ring enlargement $[35][36]$ or by cyclization of the linear precursor *via* the α active ester method' $[31][34][37]$. β -Alanine and anthranilic acid derivatives have been used as the N-terminal residue, since they represent two extreme cases of high and low conformational flexibility. Natural peptides containing an anthranilic acid, the so-called −cycloaspeptides×, are very rare, although some, such as actinomycin D from Streptomyces sp. [38], viridic acid from Penicillium viridicatum [39], and cycloaspeptides $A - C$ from the fungus *Aspergillus* sp. NE-45 [40] were reported some years ago. Two new linear peptides with a C-terminal anthranilic acid residue, dictyonamides A and B, have been isolated from a marine-derived fungus recently [41].

As part of our continuing investigation of the synthesis and the conformation of cyclic peptides and depsipeptides with conformationally restricted amino or hydroxy acids $[19-24]$, we started to examine such peptides containing anthranilic acid and its derivatives [18] [42]. As the combination of the 'azirine/oxazolone method' with the α ^tdirect amide cyclization³ proved to be an excellent preparative route to cyclic depsipeptides and peptides, these methods should be used for the synthesis of analogues of $^{\circ}$ cycloaspeptides². Here, we present the results concerning the $^{\circ}$ direct amide cyclization' of linear peptide precursors containing a N-terminal anthranilic acid.

Results and Discussion. – The linear peptide precursors were designed with 2aminobenzoic acid (anthranilic acid, Ant) as the N-terminus of the chain. The peptides were prepared via the so-called α zirine/oxazolone method α [1] by using the 2H-azirin-3-amines $7a-c$. In contrast to the classical methods for the synthesis of peptides containing α , α -disubstituted α -amino acids [43] [44], the 'azirine/oxazolone method' does not require additional reagents, and the coupling reaction is performed under mild conditions, where no side-products are formed. This simplifies the purification of the products considerably.

The linear tripeptide 11 was prepared straightforwardly by the standard procedure according to *Scheme 2*. The reaction of Z-protected anthranilic acid (6) [18] with **7a** in dry MeCN at room temperature led to the corresponding diamide 8 in 87% yield. The selective hydrolysis of the C-terminal amide group was performed under standard conditions with 3N HCl/THF 1:1 at room temperature [3] and yielded the corresponding carboxylic acid 9 in nearly quantitative yield. The latter compound was coupled with another unit of **7a** to give the N-protected tripeptide 10 in 74% yield. Deprotection of the amino group by hydrogenolysis (Pd/C in MeOH) led to compound 11, which was subjected to the reaction conditions of the \cdot direct amide cyclization \cdot : a weak stream of dry HCl gas was bubbled through a suspension of the linear peptide 11 in toluene at 100° . Toluene was chosen as the solvent because of the low solubility of 11 , which assured a low concentration of the oxazolone intermediate 3 formed in the course of the reaction (*Scheme 1*). This high dilution of the reactive intermediate provides the optimum condition for the intramolecular ring closure, *i.e.*, the formation of the cyclic monomer is favored over the formation of oligomers [19]. The reaction of 11 with dry HCl gas was complete within 10 min and provided the heterobicyclic compound 12, but not the desired 10-membered cyclopeptide A (*Scheme 2*). After chromatographic purification, 12 was isolated in 44% yield. Its formation obviously proceeds via the desired A as an intermediate, followed by a transannular ring contraction and the elimination of H_2O . Analogous transannular cyclizations of anthranilic acid derivatives have been observed previously [18] [31] [43]. The formation of 12 confirms the tendency of peptides, which contain the rigid anthranilic acid residue, to undergo transannular reactions. In the ten-membered rings, this contraction is favored due to the flattening effect of the fused benzene ring. Compound 12 was isolated as a colorless solid and its structure was established by NMR, IR, and mass spectra, and, finally, single crystals of 12 were grown successfully from CH_2Cl_2/Et_2O , and its structure was established by X-ray crystallography $(Fig, 1)$.

The NH group of molecule 12 forms an intermolecular H-bond with the amide O(3)-atom of a neighboring molecule. This interaction links the molecules into dimeric units, which are situated about a center of inversion and have a graph-set motif [46] of $R_2^2(8)$.

With the aim of exploiting a different pathway for the preparation of the tenmembered cyclopeptide A, we synthesized the tripeptide ester 13 by treatment of 10 with BBr₃ in CH₂Cl₂ and addition of MeOH [47] (*Scheme 2*). Then, **13** was heated in

 13

toluene according to the cyclization conditions reported by Aguilar and Meyers [48], but even after heating the solution under reflux for 2 days, only the starting material was recovered.

As a second example, we prepared the linear tripeptide 16 as a precursor of a tenmembered cyclic peptide containing the a,a -disubstituted a -amino acid 1-aminocyclopentane carboxylic acid (cPent) and glycine (Scheme 3). The presence of the latter should increase the flexibility of the peptide chain. The coupling of 6 with commercially available methyl glycinate hydrochloride was performed by using the coupling reagent O-(benzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N',N'-tetramethyluronium tetrafluoroborate (TBTU) in MeCN in the presence of 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) and EtN(i- Pr ₂. After chromatographic workup, the dipeptide 14 was obtained in 88% yield. The deprotection of both the carboxy and amino group in a one-pot reaction was carried out

Scheme 2

Fig. 1. ORTEP Plot [45] of the molecular structure of 12 (arbitrary numbering of the atoms; displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability)

with BBr_3 (1M solution in CH_2Cl_2) [47]. The crude dipeptide 15 was used for the next step without purification: the reaction with 7a in THF yielded the tripeptide 16. When the latter was subjected to the cyclization conditions reported above, only the heterobicyclic compound 17 could be isolated after chromatographic workup. The structure of 17 was established by spectroscopic methods, and after crystallization from CH_2Cl_2/Et_2O , it was confirmed by X-ray crystallography (*Fig. 2*).

Fig. 2. ORTEP Plot [45] of the molecular structure of 17 (arbitrary numbering of the atoms; displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability)

The H-bonding pattern of 17 is quite different to that of 12. The NH group forms an intermolecular H-bond with $N(2)$ of the heterocyclic five-membered ring of a neighboring molecule. This latter molecule is related to the original one by a center of inversion, so that centrosymmetric dimeric units with a graph-set motif of $\mathrm{R}_2^2(10)$ are formed.

The attempt to transform 14 into the corresponding N-protected carboxylic acid by saponification (1N NaOH/MeOH 9:4, 70 h) led to 2,4-dioxoquinazoline-3-acetic acid (18) in quantitative yield (Scheme 4). After a shorter reaction time (30 min) and treatment with a 0.3:2 mixture of 1N NaOH/MeOH, the corresponding methyl 2,4dioxoquinazoline-3-acetate was obtained in 79% yield. The reaction of 18 with 7a gave the quinazoline dipeptide amide 19 (78% yield), which, on treatment of its suspension in toluene with HCl gas and aqueous workup, yielded the dipeptide acid 20 (72%). After crystallization from MeOH/Et₂O/CH₂Cl₂, the structure of the latter was again established by X-ray crystallography $(Fig. 3)$.

Fig. 3. ORTEP Plot [45] of the molecular structure of one of the two symmetry-independent molecules of 20 (arbitrary numbering of the atoms; displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability; the solvent molecule is not shown)

The asymmetric unit contains two symmetry-independent molecules of 20 plus one MeOH molecule. The independent molecules of 20 differ significantly in their conformations as a result of a twist of ca. 30 $^{\circ}$ about the C(9)–C(10) bond. The OH group in molecule A forms an intermolecular H-bond with the O(15)-atom of molecule B. Instead of the OH group in molecule B forming a similar intermolecular H-bond with the corresponding O-atom in a different molecule A, this interaction actually involves a route via the O-atom of the MeOH molecule, which accepts one Hbond and then donates another H-bond to complete the link. The combination of these interactions links the molecules into extended chains composed of the sequence \cdots A \cdots B \cdots MeOH \cdots A' \cdots B' \cdots MeOH' \cdots . These chains run parallel to the [100] direction and have a ternary graph-set motif [46] of $C_3^3(22)$. The chain amide H-atom in molecule A forms an intermolecular H-bond with the O-atom of the corresponding amide group in molecule B, and this amide group in molecule B forms a similar H-bond with a different molecule A, thus building extended chains composed of the sequence \cdots A \cdots B \cdots A' \cdots B' \cdots , and which run parallel to the [100] direction and have a binary graph set motif of $C_2^2(8)$. The ring amide H-atom in molecule A forms an intermolecular H-bond to the ring carbonyl O-atom that is adjacent to the aromatic ring of molecule B, while molecule B has a similar interaction with a different molecule A. These interactions form extended chains composed of the sequence \cdots A \cdots B \cdots A'' \cdots B'' \cdots , and which run parallel to the [010] direction and have a binary graph-set motif of $C_2^2(12)$. The combination of all the interactions links all moieties in the structure into two-dimensional networks which lie parallel to the (110) plane.

Similar to the synthesis of 8, the reaction of 6 with aminoazirine 7b in dry MeCN led to the corresponding diamide 21 (Scheme 5). Acidic hydrolysis gave the corresponding carboxylic acid 22, which was further coupled with 7a to yield the tripeptide 23. Removal of the protecting group by hydrogenolysis gave the linear precursor 24, which was subjected to the conditions of the 'direct amide cyclization' (HCl gas in toluene at 100°). The results were quite different from our expectations and somewhat surprising. The desired cyclic peptide of type A could not be obtained, but three products have been isolated instead, i.e., the dimer 25 of the desired ten-

24

27

25

membered cyclic peptide (50% yield), an unexpected product recognized as the quinazolinone 26 (32%), and traces of the transannular-ring-contraction product 27.

The formation of the cyclodimer 25 is obviously a result of an intermolecular reaction of the oxazolone intermediate of type 3 (see, e.g., [19] [20]), which is probably influenced by the concentration and the conformational flexibility of the peptide backbone, which may vary considerably in different peptides. The structure of 25 was deduced on the basis of 1D- and 2D-NMR spectra: the assignment of the ¹H and ¹³C signals was achieved using HSQC and HMBC techniques. To determine the molecular mass of the cyclic peptide, the soft-ionization technique ESI-MS was employed and indicated the base peak at m/z 783 ($[M + Na]^+$), which corresponds to the 20membered cyclodimer 25. Finally, the structure of 25 was established by X-ray crystallography $(Fig. 4)$. As only one very poor-quality crystal of 25 could be isolated, the crystallographic data do not permit precise bond lengths and angles to be determined. However, the data were good enough for the structure of the dimer to be recognized. It is worth mentioning that the two benzyl groups are cis oriented. The corresponding *trans* isomer could not be detected despite of the fact that racemic 7b was used for the synthesis.

Fig. 4. ORTEP Plot [45] of the molecular structure of 25 (arbitrary numbering of the atoms; displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability; H-atoms, except amide H-atoms, omitted for clarity)

The amide $N(7) - H$ and $N(17) - H$ form intramolecular H-bonds with the amide $O(20)$ - and $O(10)$ -atoms, respectively, that are on the other side of the macrocycle, two peptide units further around. Each of these interactions has the graph-set motif of $S(10)$. $N(1)$ – H and $N(11)$ – H form intermolecular H-bonds with amide O(16')- and $O(6'')$ -atoms, respectively, from two different neighboring molecules. Each of these interactions independently links the molecules into extended chains, which run parallel to the $[001]$ direction and have a graph-set motif of $C(8)$. In this way, two H-bonds

bridge adjacent peptide molecules, with the sense of the $N(1)-H\cdots O$ and $N(11)-H\cdots$ O progression being in opposite directions. No reasonable H-bond acceptor could be located for $N(4) - H$ and $N(17) - H$, but the acceptors may be part of the omitted solvent molecules (see Exper. Part).

The formation of compound 26 is very surprising, and we are not able to give a reasonable explanation at this time. The structure of 26 was elucidated by means of its NMR and IR spectra and confirmed by X-ray crystallography (*Fig. 5*). Compound 26 crystallized in a polar, non-centrosymmetric space group, but one, which dictates that the crystals are racemic. There are two symmetry-independent molecules of 26 plus one CH_2Cl_2 molecule in the asymmetric unit. Both molecules of 26 have similar conformations. The most-significant difference is in the orientation of the benzyl substituent, which, in molecule B, is pivoted about the C(42)–C(58) bond by ca. 30 $^{\circ}$ with respect to the orientation of the corresponding group in molecule A. The fivemembered ring of molecule A is disordered with one atom of the ring occupying two positions. The terminal NH group of each molecule forms an intramolecular H-bond with the O-atom of the bicyclic ring system. This creates a ten-membered loop with a graph-set motif [46] of S(10) and corresponds with the normal intramolecular interactions seen for peptides with β -turns. The NH group in the center of molecule A

Fig. 5. ORTEP Plot [45] of the molecular structure of 26 (arbitrary numbering of the atoms; displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability)

forms an intermolecular H-bond with the amide O-atom at the Ph end of a neighboring molecule B. The latter molecule, in turn, has an identical intermolecular interaction with another molecule A. These interactions link the peptide molecules into extended \cdots A \cdots B \cdots B \cdots chains, which run parallel to the [-110] direction and have a binary graph set motif of $C_2^2(10)$.

Finally, the traces of compound 27 were successfully crystallized from $CH_2Cl_2/Et_2O/$ hexane and its structure, which is analogous to that of 12 and 17, was established by X-ray crystallography (Fig. 6).

Fig. 6. ORTEP Plot [45] of the molecular structure of 27 (arbitrary numbering of the atoms; displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability)

As a result of the described reactions, the conditions of the $\acute{ }$ direct amide cyclization' proved not to be suitable for the formation of ten-membered 'cycloaspeptides'. Therefore, it was of interest to test the application of this method to a corresponding tetrapeptide precursor, which should lead to the 13-membered cyclopeptide. Tetrapeptide 31 was synthesized according to Scheme 6: the coupling reaction of carboxylic acid 9 with methyl glycinate hydrochloride in the presence of TBTU, HOBt, and EtN(i-Pr)₂ gave the tripeptide methyl ester 28 in 98% yield, which was then hydrolyzed with 1_N NaOH in MeOH to yield the corresponding carboxylic acid 29. The coupling of the latter with another unit of 7a afforded the tetrapeptide amide 30 in 93% yield, which, after deprotection of the amino group by hydrogenolysis, gave 31. All attempts to cyclize this tetrapeptide by treatment of its suspension with HCl gas failed. Only a mixture of inseparable decomposition products was obtained. This result parallels the observation of a similar decomposition of tetrapeptide derivatives under these conditions [18].

Finally, a tripeptide derivative of type 36 was prepared (Scheme 7). Condensation of Z-protected alanine with methyl anthranilate under standard conditions with [(1Hbenzotriazol-1-yl)oxy]tris(pyrrolidino)-phosphonium hexafluorophosphate (PyBOP) and $EtN(i-Pr)$, led to the corresponding amide 32, and saponification of the ester group with 1_N NaOH in MeOH yielded the acid 33 [49]. The latter compound was coupled with 2H-azirin-3-amine 7c to give the N-protected tripeptide 34. Deprotection of the amino group by hydrogenolysis led to the compound 35, which was subjected to the cyclization conditions. Unfortunately the 'direct amide cyclization', as depicted in Scheme 1, failed to give the desired cyclopeptide. This is most likely due to a complete protonation of the primary amino group of 35 under the acidic conditions needed for this cyclization. Hydrolytic ring opening of the initially formed 1,3-oxazol-5(4H)-one intermediate with MeOH during workup explains the formation of the isolated methyl ester 36. With this ester in hand, we were able to try the cyclization in refluxing toluene according to [48], but even after heating for several days, only the starting material was recovered.

Conclusions. – We have shown that the 'azirine/oxazolone method' is a convenient preparative route for the synthesis of peptides containing α , α -disubstituted α -amino acids and anthranilic acid. Unfortunately, attempts to prepare the corresponding tenmembered cyclic peptide by the 'direct amide cyclization' have so far been unsuccessful. In the case of peptides 11 and 16, the tricyclic products 12 and 17 were

1722

obtained as major products. Obviously, the initially formed cyclic peptides, under acidic conditions, undergo a transannular ring closure, which is followed by elimination of H₂O. On the other hand, the 'direct amide cyclization' of 24 gave the cyclodimer 25, i.e., a 20-membered cyclohexapeptide. In all cases, the expected formation of the 1,3 oxazol-5(4H)-one intermediate of type 3 takes place, and the aniline NH_2 group acts as a nucleophile. Finally, in the case of 35, oxazolone formation is proven by the isolation of the ester 36. The NH2 group of alanine, in contrast, undergoes neither an intra- nor an intermolecular nucleophilic addition, as it is completely protonated under the chosen conditions.

As a conclusion, the 'direct amide cyclization', which has been shown to be a superior method for the preparation of cyclodepsipeptides containing a, a -disubstituted α -amino acids, is not suitable for the synthesis of ten-membered 'cycloaspeptides'.

We thank the analytical services of our institute for NMR and mass spectra and elemental analyses, Miss *J.* Cavegn for her assistance with the determination of the crystal structures, and the Dr. Helmut Legerlotz-Foundation and F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Basel, for financial support.

Experimental Part

1. General. Solvents were purified by standard procedures. Thin layer chromatography (TLC): aluminium sheets coated with silica gel Merck 60 F_{254} . Column chromatography (CC): silica gel (0.040 – 0.063 mm, Merck 60). M.p.: Büchi B-540 apparatus; uncorrected. IR Spectra: Perkin-Elmer 1600 Series FT-IR spectrometer; in

KBr; absorptions in cm⁻¹. NMR Spectra: *Bruker ARX-300* spectrometer (1 H: 300 MHz, 1 ³C: 75.5 MHz); in CDCl₃; chemical shifts δ [ppm] refer to residual CHCl₃ (7.27 ppm, ¹H) and to CDCl₃ (77.0 ppm, ¹³C); coupling constants J in Hz; the multiplicity of the ¹³C signals was deduced from DEPT spectra. MS: Finnigan MAT-SSQ-700 (CI) and Finnigan MAT-TSQ-700 (ESI) spectrometer; m/z (rel.%). The following 2H-azirin-3-amines were used: N-methyl-N-phenyl-1-azaspiro[2.4]hept-1-en-2-amine (7a) [50], 2-benzyl-N,N,2-trimethyl-2H-azirin-3 amine (7b) [51], and $N₁N₂2-tetramethyl-2H-azirin-3-amine (7c) [52]$.

General Procedure 1 (GP 1): Azirine Coupling. To a soln. of an N-protected amino acid or peptide acid in the given solvent, the 2H-azirin-3-amine (7) was added at 0° , and the mixture was stirred under N₂ at r.t. After completion of the reaction (TLC), the soln. was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by CC $(SiO₂)$.

General Procedure 2 (GP 2): Selective Hydrolysis of the Terminal Amide Group. The C- and N-protected peptide was dissolved in THF/6N HCl 1:1 (v/v , ca. 5 ml/mmol) at 0° , and the soln. was stirred at r.t. until completion of the reaction (TLC). Then, the solvent was evaporated, CH₂Cl₂ and H₂O were added, and the layers were separated. The aq. layer was washed with Et_2O , and the combined org. layer was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The product was pure enough $($ > 90%) to be used in the next step without further purification.

General Procedure 3 (GP 3): Hydrolysis of the Methyl Ester. To a soln. of the C- and N-protected peptide in MeOH, 1_N NaOH was added. The mixture was stirred until completion of the reaction (TLC). Then, 1_N HCl was added to the mixture to adjust pH to $2-3$. The solvent was evaporated, CH₂Cl₂ and H₂O were added, and the layers were separated. The aq. layer was washed with $Et₂O$, and the combined org. layer was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo.

General Procedure 4 (GP 4): Hydrogenolysis of the Z-Protecting Group. To a soln. of the C- and Nprotected peptide in MeOH, 10% Pd/C was added at 0° , and the mixture was stirred at r.t. under H₂ until completion of the reaction (TLC). Then, the soln. was filtered over a Celite pad, the solvent was evaporated, and the resulting residue was purified by $CC (SiO₂)$.

General Procedure 5 (GP 5): Peptide Coupling. To a soln. of an N-protected amino acid, EtN(i-Pr), (2 equiv.), O-(benzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N',N'-tetramethyluronium tetrafluoroborate (TBTU, 1 equiv.), and 1hydroxy-1H-benzotriazole (HOBt, 1 equiv.) in MeCN or CH₂Cl₂ was added 1.1 equiv. of the amino acid ester. The mixture was stirred at r.t. until the starting material was completely consumed (TLC). Then, the soln. was concentrated in vacuo and the crude product purified by CC $(SiO₂)$.

General Procedure 6 (GP 6): Direct Amide Cyclization. A stirred suspension of the peptide in dry toluene was warmed to 100° under N₂. A stream of dry HCl gas was slowly passed through the suspension at this temp. for ca. 10 min, followed by a stream of N_2 for 30 min to remove the remaining HCl. The solvent was evaporated and the residue partitioned between H₂O and CH₂Cl₂. The org. layer was dried ($MgSO₄$) and evaporated. The crude product was purified by $CC (SiO₂)$.

2. $Dispi of cyclopentane-1,2'(4'H)-[1H] imidazo[1,2-a][1,4]benzodiazepine-4',1''-cyclopentane]-1',6'(5'H)$ dione (12). 2.1. Benzyl (2-{[(1-{[(Methyl)(phenyl)amino]carbonyl}cyclopentyl)amino]carbonyl}phenyl)carbamate (8). According to GP 1, with Z-protected anthranilic acid (6) (0.400 g, 1.470 mmol) in MeCN (4 ml), 7a (0.353 g, 1.760 mmol) in MeCN (2 ml); reaction time 22 h. The obtained precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with hexane, and dried in vacuo: 0.665 g of crude 8. Recrystallization from EtOH gave 0.603 g (87%) of 8. Colorless microcrystals. M.p. 202.3 - 202.8°. IR: 3320m, 3267s, 3060w, 2965w, 1733s, 1635s, 1594s, 1520s, 1445s, 1386m, 1320m, 1207s, 1041m, 764m. ¹H-NMR: 10.73 (br. s, NH); 8.35 (d, $J = 8.4$, 1 arom. H); 7.45 – 7.29 (m, 6 arom. H); $7.11 - 7.02$ (m, 5 arom. H); 6.83 – 6.63 (m, 2 arom. H); 5.21 (s, PhCH₂); 3.24 (s, MeN); 2.64 – 2.55, 1.90 $-$ 1.74, 1.68 $-$ 1.56 (3m, (CH₂)₄). ¹³C-NMR: 172.1, 167.9, 153.7 (3s, 3 CO); 144.6, 140.1, 136.3 (3s, 3 arom. C); 132.7, 129.4, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 127.3, 126.9, 126.4, 121.4, 120.0 (10d, 14 arom. CH); 119.0 (s, 1 arom. C); 67.7 (s, $(CH₂)₄C$); 66.8 (t, PhCH₂); 40.7 (q, MeN); 39.5, 24.7 (2t, (CH₂)₄). ESI-MS: 494 (95, [M + Na]⁺), 472 (13, [M + 1]⁺), 365 (100). Anal. calc. for $C_{28}H_{29}N_3O_4$ (471.56): C 71.32, H 6.20, N 8.91; found: C 71.06, H 6.25, N 8.93.

2.2. 1-[(2-{[(Benzyloxy)carbonyl]amino}benzoyl)amino]cyclopentanecarboxylic Acid (9). According to GP 2, hydrolysis of 8 (0.300 g, 0.636 mmol) led to 0.237 g (98%) of **9**. Colorless powder. M.p. 195.6 – 196.4°. IR: 3326m, 2963m, 2875w, 1701s, 1642s, 1600m, 1588s, 1524s, 1447s, 1280m, 1244s, 1216s, 1039s, 976w, 918m, 754m. ${}^{1}H\text{-NMR } ((D_6)DMSO): 12.30 \text{ (br. s, COOH)}; 10.62, 8.80 \text{ (2s, 2 NH)}; 8.19 \text{ (dd, } J = 8.4, 0.9, 1 \text{ arom. H)}; 7.76 \text{ (dd, } J = 1.00 \text{ m})$ $J = 7.9, 1.4, 1$ arom. H); $7.53 - 7.34$ (m, 6 arom. H); $7.14 - 7.08$ (m, 1 arom. H); 5.16 (s, PhCH₂); $2.51 - 2.48, 2.11 - 2.49$ 2.04 (2m, (CH₂)₄). ¹³C-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 175.0, 168.4, 152.6 (3s, 3 CO); 138.7, 136.2 (2s, 2 arom. C); 132.0, 128.7, 128.3, 127.9, 121.6 (5d, 8 arom. CH); 120.0 (s, 1 arom. C); 118.3 (d, 1 arom. CH); 66.0 (t, PhCH₂); 65.6 (s, $(CH₂)₄C$; 36.2, 24.1 (2t, $(CH₂)₄$). ESI-MS: 405 (100, $[M + Na]$ ⁺).

2.3. Benzyl (2-{[(1-{[(1-{[(Methyl)(phenyl)amino]carbonyl}cyclopentyl)amino]carbonyl}cyclopentyl)amino]carbonyl]phenyl)carbamate (10). According to $GP1$, with 9 (0.480 g, 1.255 mmol) in THF (12 ml), 7a

 $(0.276 \text{ g}, 1.380 \text{ mmol})$ in THF (4 ml); reaction time 28 h. CC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 200 : 1 to 60 : 1) yielded 0.542 g (74%) of 10. Colorless powder. M.p. 241.5 – 241.9°, IR: 3310m, 2954m, 2870w, 1739s, 1655s, 1632s, 1589s, 1525s, 1448s, 1383m, 1323w, 1280w, 1243w, 1211s, 1104w, 1044m, 1028w. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃/CD₃OD): 10.00 (br. s, NH); 8.32 (d, $J = 8.4$, 1 arom. H); 7.53 - 7.31 (m, 8 arom. H); 7.08 - 7.01 (m, 5 arom. H); 5.20 (s, PhCH₂); 3.18 (s, MeN); $2.15 - 2.02$, $1.97 - 1.89$, $1.68 - 1.65$, $1.54 - 1.51$ $(4m, 2 \text{ (CH}_2)_4)$. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃/CD₃OD): 172.6, 170.3, 153.6 (3s, 4 CO); 145.0, 139.7, 136.1 (3s, 3 arom. C); 133.2, 128.9, 128.7, 128.6, 127.4, 127.2, 122.3 (7d, 13 arom. CH); 120.6 $(s, 1 \text{ arom. C})$; 120.4 (d, 1 arom. CH); 68.9, 67.5 (2s, 2 (CH₂)₄C); 67.2 (t, PhCH₂); 41.0 (q, MeN); 38.5, 36.1, 23.9, 23.8 (4t, 2 (CH₂)₄). ESI-MS: 605 (100, $[M + Na]$ ⁺). Anal. calc. for C₃₄H₃₈N₄O₅ (582.71): C 70.08, H 6.57, N 9.61; found: C 69.90, H 6.64, N 9.58.

2.4. 2-Amino-N-(1-{[(1-{[(methyl)(phenyl)amino]carbonyl}cyclopentyl)amino]carbonyl}cyclopentyl)benzamide (11). According to GP 4, hydrogenolysis of 10 (0.240 g, 0.412 mmol) in MeOH (30 ml); reaction time 3 h. Evaporation yielded 0.182 g (98%) of 11. Colorless powder. M.p. 281.5 - 282.1°. IR: 3490m, 3333s, 2953m, 2870m, 1657s, 1632s, 1610m, 1583s, 1492s, 1451s, 1382m, 1299m, 1266s. ¹ H-NMR ((D6)DMSO): 7.83 (s, 1 NH); 7.56 (d, $J = 6.6$, 2 arom. H); 7.35 – 7.30 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.20 – 7.11 (m, 3 arom. H, NH); 6.67 (dd, $J = 8.2, 0.8, 1$ arom. H); 6.52 (td-like, $J = 7.9, 7.0, 0.9, 1$ arom. H); 6.20 (s, NH₂); 3.23 (s, MeN); 2.19 - 2.08, 2.92 - 1.88, 1.65 - 1.52 $(3m, 2 \text{ (CH}_2)_4)$. ¹³C-NMR $((D_6)$ DMSO): 172.7, 172.3, 169.1 (3s, 3 CO); 149.3, 145.4 (2s, 2 arom. C); 131.6, 128.9, 128.4, 126.7, 125.7, 116.1 (6d, 8 arom. CH); 115.1 (s, 1 arom. C); 114.3 (d, 1 arom. CH); 66.5, 66.2 (2s, 2 (CH₂)₄C); 38.8 (q, MeN); 36.2, 35.7, 23.7 (3t, 2 (CH₂)₄). CI-MS: 449 (18, $[M+1]^+$), 342 (100).

2.5. Compound 12. According to GP 6, with 11 (0.040 g, 0.089 mmol), in toluene (30 ml), at 100 $^{\circ}$; reaction time 10 min. CC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 180 : 1) yielded 0.012 g (44%) of 12. Colorless crystals. M.p. 224.1 - 225.3°. IR: 3285w, 3178s, 3065m, 2961s, 2929s, 2878m, 1743s, 1661s, 1636s, 1600s, 1489m, 1460s, 1393s, 1358s, 1333s, 1271m, $1163m$, $1062m$, $1005w$, $950w$, $891w$, $803w$, $779m$, $750m$. 1 H-NMR: 7.92 $(dd, J = 7.8, 1.5, 1$ arom. H); 7.71 $(dd, J =$ 8.2, 1.0, 1 arom. H); 7.59 (td-like, $J = 8.2$, 7.4, 1.1, 1 arom. H); 6.65 (s, NH); 2.11 – 1.65 (m, 2 (CH₂)₄). ¹³C-NMR: 184.4 (s, CN₂); 168.7, 161.9 (2s, 2 CO); 132.5 (d, 1 arom. CH); 132.0 (s, 1 arom. C); 131.1 (d, 1 arom. CH); 127.8 (s, 1 arom. C); 126.9, 123.3 (2d, 2 arom. CH); 61.6 (s, 2 (CH₂)₄C); 38.1, 37.6, 37.2, 36.8, 26.2, 24.6, 23.2 (7t, 2 (CH₂)₄). ESI-MS: 324 (100, $[M+1]^+$).

Recrystallization from CH_2Cl_2/Et_2O yielded crystals of 12 suitable for X-ray crystal-structure determination.

3. Methyl 1-[({1-[(2-Aminobenzoyl)amino]cyclopentyl}carbonyl)amino]cyclopentanecarboxylate (13). General Procedure 7 (GP 7): The soln. of 10 (0.150 g, 0.257 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) was cooled to -10° and BBr₃ (4 ml, 1M in CH₂Cl₂, 4.0 mmol) was added dropwise while stirring. Stirring was continued at -10° for 1 h and at 25° for 2 h. The reaction was terminated by careful, dropwise addition of MeOH (20 ml). The solvents were evaporated, and the residue was partitioned between H₂O and CH₂Cl₂. The org. layer was dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. The crude product was purified by CC (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 60:1 to 30:1): 0.054 g (66%) of 13. Colorless powder. M.p. 174.6 - 175.3°. IR: 3441m, 3377s, 3302s, 3030w, 2958s, 2874m, 1723s, 1654s, 1617s, 1596s, 1523s, 1452m, 1302m, 1252m, 1160w, 1133w, 1070w, 1029w. ¹H-NMR: 7.46 (br. s, 2 NH); 7.24 – 7.12 (m, 2 arom. H); $6.63 - 6.56$ (m, 2 arom. H); 6.25 (s, NH₂); 3.61 (s, MeO); $2.37 - 2.30$, $2.15 - 2.08$, $2.01 - 1.86$, $1.76 - 1.66$ $(4m, 2 \text{ (CH}_2)_4)$. ¹³C-NMR: 174.6, 173.2, 169.9 (3s, 3 CO); 148.6 (s, 1 arom. C); 132.6, 127.2, 117.4, 116.7 (4d, 4 arom. CH); 115.9 (s, 1 arom. C); 67.8, 65.8 (2s, 2 (CH₂)₄C); 52.2 (q, MeO); 37.0, 36.6, 24.4, 24.0 (4t, 2 (CH₂)₄). ESI-MS: 412 (100, $[M+K]^+$), 374 (71, $[M+1]^+$).

4. 4',5'-Dihydrospiro[cyclopentane-1,2'(6'H)-[1H]imidazo[1,2-a][1,4]benzodiazepine]-1',6'-dione (17). 4.1. Methyl $[(2-[[(Benzyloxy)carbony]] aminobenzoyl) aminoblaceate (14)$. According to $GP 5$, with 6 (0.200 g, 0.737 mmol), methyl glycinate hydrochloride (0.110 g, 0.737 mmol), HOBt (0.100 g, 0.737 mmol), TBTU $(0.236 \text{ g}, 0.737 \text{ mmol})$, EtN $(i\text{-}Pr)$ ₂ (0.26 ml, 1.474 mmol), and MeCN (8 ml); reaction time 4 h. CC (CH₂Cl₂/ MeOH 250:1) gave 0.222 g (88%) of 14. Colorless powder. M.p. 89.7 - 90.0°. IR: 3420s, 3157m, 3039w, 2953m, 1738s, 1641s, 1591s, 1537s, 1455s, 1407s, 1374s, 1331m, 1283s, 1207s, 1127m, 1084w, 1045m, 1028w, 1000w, 909w, 855w, 829w, 754m, 697m. ¹H-NMR: 10.42 (s, NH); 8.40 (dd, $J = 8.4$, 0.9, 1 arom. H); 7.54 – 7.31 (m, 7 arom. H); 7.04 (td-like, $J = 8.6, 7.6, 1.1, 1$ arom. H); 6.68 (s, NH); 5.20 (s, PhCH₂); 4.20 (d, $J = 5.0$, CH₂CO); 3.81 (s, MeO). 13C-NMR: 170.1, 168.8, 153.5 (3s, 3 CO); 140.0, 136.2 (2s, 2 arom. C); 133.0, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, 126.8, 121.9, 120.0 (7d, 9 arom. CH); 66.8 (t, PhCH₂); 52.6 (q, MeO); 41.6 (t, CH₂CO). CI-MS: 360 (27, $[M + NH_4]^+$), 343 (100, $[M+1]^+$, 299 (15), 254 (52). Anal. calc. for C₁₈H₁₈N₂O₅ (342.36): C 63.15, H 5.30, N 8.18; found: C 63.08, H 5.30, N 8.16.

4.2. 2-Amino-N-{2-[(1-{[(methyl)(phenyl)amino]carbonyl}cyclopentyl)amino]-2-oxoethyl}benzamide (16). According to GP 7, with 14 (0.340 g, 0.993 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 ml), BBr₃ (12 ml, 1_M in CH₂Cl₂, 12 mmol). The reaction was terminated by addition of $H_2O(50 \text{ ml})$. The crude N-(2-aminobenzoyl)glycine (15) was used for the next step without further purification: according to GP 1, with 15 (0.072 g, 0.404 mmol) in THF (10 ml) , 7a $(0.121 \text{ g}, 0.606 \text{ mmol})$ in THF (4 ml) ; reaction time 48 h, CC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 60:1) gave 0.090 g (57%) of 16. Colorless powder. M.p. 206.7 – 207.9°, IR: 3480m, 3376m, 3317m, 3290m, 1683s, 1638s, 1611s, 1592s, 1518 s, 1495 m , 1444 w , 1380 m , 1315 w , 1253 m , 1155 w , 1025 w , 891 w , 749 w , 708 w . $H\text{-NMR }((\mathbf{D_6})\text{DMSO})$: 8.20 -8.10 $(m, 1 \text{ NH})$; 7.65 - 7.55 (br. s, 1 NH); 7.51 - 6.90 $(m, 7 \text{ arom. H})$; 6.72 - 6.69 (d-like, 1 arom. H); 6.55 - 6.48 (t-like, 1 arom. H); 6.35 (s, NH₂); 3.55 (m, CH₂CO); 3.13 (s, MeN); 2.30 - 2.15, 1.80 - 1.49 (2m, (CH₂)₄). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl3/CD3DO): 176.1, 173.0, 171.5 (3s, 3 CO); 151.5, 148.3 (2s, 2 arom. C); 135.7, 132.4, 130.8, 130.6, 129.8, 120.4, 119.9 (7d, 9 arom. CH); 118.3 (s, 1 arom. C); 70.2 (s, $(CH_2)_4C$); 45.7 (t, CH_2CO); 43.6 (q, MeN); 41.7, 27.3 $(2t, (CH_2)_4)$. ESI-MS: 417 (100, $[M + Na]$ ⁺), 288 (23).

4.3. Compound 17. According to GP 6, with 16 (0.075 g, 0.190 mmol), in toluene (60 ml), 100° ; reaction time 10 min. CC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 150 : 1 to 50 : 1) yielded 0.010 g (20%) of **17.** Colorless crystals. M.p. 268.5 – 269.8. IR: 3442w, 3190s, 3099s, 2960m, 2923m, 1729s, 1662s, 1599s, 1573s, 1484m, 1461s, 1430m, 1389s, 1333s, $1298w$, $1270m$, $1231m$, $1158m$, $1083s$, $1058m$, $1019m$, $957m$, $877w$, $790m$, $764m$. $^1H\text{-NMR }((\mathbf{D_6})\text{DMSO})$: 9.03 $(t,$ $J = 5.7, 1 \text{ NH}$); 8.07 (td, $J = 7.6, 1.0, 1$ arom. H); 7.96 - 7.88 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.75 - 7.66 (m, 1 arom. H); 4.41 - 4.14 $(m, CH₂NH); 2.15-2.04$ $(m, (CH₂)₄)$. ¹³C-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 182.8 (s, CN₂); 167.6, 158.6 (2s, 2 CO); 131.7, 130.9, 126.7, 123.0 (4d, 4 arom. CH); 131.0, 127.7 (2s, 2 arom. C); 77.5 (s, $(CH_2)_4C$); 39.2, 25.2 (2t, $(CH_2)_4$); 37.0 (t, CH₂NH). ESI-MS: 270 (100, $[M+1]$ ⁺).

Recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O yielded crystals of 17 suitable for X-ray crystal-structure determination.

5. Synthesis of 1-{[(1,4-Dihydro-2,4-dioxo-2H-quinazolin-3-yl)acetyl]amino}-N-methyl-N-phenylcyclopentanecarboxamide (19). 5.1. 1,4-Dihydro-2,4-dioxoquinazoline-3(2H)-acetic Acid (18). According to GP 3, with 14 (0.100 g, 0.290 mmol) in MeOH (2 ml) and 0.3 ml 1N NaOH; reaction time 30 min. CC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 300:1) gave 0.054 g (79%) of methyl 1,4-dihydro-2,4-dioxoquinazoline-3(2H)-acetate. ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 11.61 (s, NH); 7.95 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.4, 1 arom. H); 7.71 (td, J = 7.8, 1.5, 1 arom. H); 7.30 – 7.15 (m, 2 arom. H); 4.67 (s, CH₂); 3.68 (s, MeO). ¹³C-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 168.6, 162.3, 150.5 (3s, 3 CO); 139.0 (s, 1 arom. C); 135.2, 127.9, 123.0, 115.0 (4d, 4 arom. CH); 113.7 (s, 1 arom. C); 52.2 (q, MeO); 41.3 (t, CH₂). ESI-MS: 257 (100, $(M + Na$ ⁺).

According to GP 3, 14 (0.115 g, 0.336 mmol) in MeOH (4 ml) was treated with 9 ml of 1N NaOH; reaction time 70 h: 0.073 g (99%) of **18.** ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 13.0 (br. s, COOH); 11.56 (s, NH); 7.94 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.4, 1 arom. H); 7.70 (td, J = 7.4, 1.5, 1 arom. H); 7.27 – 7.21 (m, 2 arom. H); 4.56 (s, CH₂). ¹³C-NMR: 169.2, 161.5, 149.8(3s, 3 CO); 139.3 (s, 1 arom. C); 135.3, 127.3, 122.7, 115.2 (4d, 4 arom. CH); 113.3 (s, 1 arom. C); 41.3 (t, $CH₂$).

5.2. *Compound* 19. According to GP 1, with 18 (0.073 g, 0.332 mmol) in THF (8 ml), 7a (0.068 g, 0.341 mmol) in THF (2 ml); reaction time 98 h. CC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 100 :1 to 50 :1) gave 0.105 g (78%) of 19. White powder. M.p. 263.7 - 264.3°. IR: 3340s, 3058m, 2934m, 2866m, 1721s, 1669s, 1635s, 1594m, 1537m, 1494s, 1455s, 1431m, 1367m, 1335m, 1278m, 1252m, 1139m, 977w, 723w. ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 11.41 (s, NH); 7.94 $(dd, J = 8.3, 1.5, 1$ arom. H); 7.85 (br. s, 1 NH); 7.68 (td, $J = 8.2, 1.5, 1$ arom. H); 7.41 – 7.20 (m, 7 arom. H); 4.26 (s, $CH₂CO$); 3.13 (s, MeN); 2.25 - 2.09, 1.74 - 1.68, 1.60 - 1.58 (3m, (CH₂)₄). ¹³C-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 173.0, 166.3, 162.6, 150.6 (4s, 4 CO); 139.0 (s, 1 arom. C); 134.8, 129.0, 127.5, 127.0, 122.6, 114.7 (6d, 9 arom. CH); 113.7 (s, 1 arom. C); 66.8 (s, $(CH_2)_4C$); 42.2 (t, CH₂CO); 40.0 (q, MeN); 38.1, 23.9 (2t, $(CH_2)_4$); 1 arom. C not found. CI-MS: 421 $(42, [M + 1]^+)$, 314 (100) . Anal. calc. for $C_{23}H_{24}N_4O_4$ (420.47): C 65.70, H 5.75, N 13.32; found: C 65.49, H 5.74, N 13.10.

5.3. 1-{[(1,4-Dihydro-2,4-dioxo-2H-quinazolin-3-yl)acetyl]amino}cyclopentanecarboxylate (20). According to GP 6, with 19 (0.100 g, 0.238 mmol) in toluene (40 ml), 100° ; reaction time 30 min: 0.057 g (72%) of 20. White powder. M.p. 234.9 = 235.3°, IR: 3600m, 3359m, 3267m, 2962m, 1720s, 1700s, 1652s, 1537s, 1494s, 1449s 1419s, 1406m, 1347m, 1324m, 1267s, 1184w, 1160w, 1135m, 1071w, 1031w, 983m, 785m, 761m, 749m, 689m. ${}^{1}H\text{-NMR } ((D_6)DMSO): 12.1 \text{ (br. } s, COOH); 11.41 \text{ (s, NH); } 8.36 \text{ (s, NH)}; 7.92 \text{ (d-like, } J = 7.2, 1 \text{ arom. H)}; 7.67 \text{ (t-1)}$ like, $J = 8.2$, 1 arom. H); 7.21 (t-like, $J = 7.7$, 2 arom. H); 4.49 (s, CH₂CO); 2.08 - 2.02, 1.99 - 1.82, 1.66 - 1.65 (3m, $(CH₂)₄$). ¹³C-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 174.9, 166.2, 161.2, 149.8 (4s, 4 CO); 139.3 (s, 1 arom. C); 134.9, 127.3, 122.4, 115.0 (4d, 4 arom. CH); 113.5 (s, 1 arom. C); 64.9 (s, $(CH_2)_4C$); 42.0 (t, CH_2CO); 36.2, 23.9 (2t, $(CH_2)_4$). CI-MS: 332 (67), 349 (100, $[M + NH_3]^+$).

Recrystallization from MeOH/Et₂O/CH₂Cl₂ yielded crystals of 20 suitable for X-ray crystal-structure determination.

6. Cyclization of 2-[(2-Aminobenzoyl)amino]-2-methyl-N-(1-{[(methyl)(phenyl)amino]carbonyl}cyclopentyl)-3-phenyl-propanamide (24). 6.1. Benzyl [2-({[2-(Dimethylamino)-1-methyl-2-oxo-1-(phenylmethyl) ethyl]amino]carbonyl)phenyl]carbamate (21). According to GP 1, with 6 (0.500 g, 1.843 mmol) in MeCN (6 ml), 7b (0.416 g, 2.110 mmol) in MeCN (4 ml); reaction time 48h. The crude product was filtered, washed with cold hexane/Et₂O 1:1, and dried in vacuo: 0.695 g (82%) of 21. Colorless powder. M.p. 211.5 - 212.4°. IR: 3281m, 3102w, 3066w, 3036w, 3098w, 2957w, 1733s, 1620s, 1587s, 1524s, 1450s, 1391m, 1374m, 1328m, 1306w, 1278m, 1221s, 1104m, 1090s, 1041s, 1029m, 895m, 849w, 768m, 758m, 739w, 706w. ¹H-NMR: 10.57 (s, NH); 8.36 $(dd, J=8.5; 1.0, 1$ arom. H); 7.46 - 7.30 $(m, 6 \text{ atom. H})$; 7.28 - 7.17 $(m, 4 \text{ atom. H})$; 7.03 - 7.00 $(m, 2 \text{ atom. H})$; 6.92 $(id, J = 8.0, 1.2, 1 \text{ arom. H}); 5.23, 5.18 (AB, J = 12.0, PhCH₂O); 3.73, 3.35 (AB, J = 14.1, PhCH₂C); 3.11 (s, J = 14.1, PhCH₂O); 3.$ Me2N); 1.80 (s, MeC). 13C-NMR: 171.9, 167.6, 153.7 (3s, 3 CO); 139.9, 136.4 (2s, 2 arom. C); 132.5, 129.9, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3 (5d, 10 arom. CH); 128.2 (s, 1 arom. C); 127.1, 126.7, 122.0 (3d, 3 arom. CH); 120.4 (s, 1 arom. C); 120.0 (d, 1 arom. CH); 66.7 (t, PhCH₂O); 61.1 (s, MeC); 40.1 (t, PhCH₂); 38.5 (q, Me₂N); 22.7 (q, Me). CI-MS: 460 (3, $[M+1]^+$), 415 (33), 308 (20), 307 (100). Anal. calc. for C₂₇H₂₉N₃O₄ (459.55): C 70.57, H 6.36, N, 9.14; found: C 70.06, H 6.43, N 9.04.

6.2. 2-[(2-{[(Benzyloxy)carbonyl]amino}benzoyl)amino]-2-methyl-3-phenylpropanoic Acid (22). According to GP 2, hydrolysis of 21 (0.580 g, 1.260 mmol) led to 0.506 g (93%) of 22. Colorless powder. M.p. 101.5 $-$ 101.9. IR: 3283w, 3064m, 3032m, 2943w, 1736s, 1648s, 1589s, 1524s, 1449s, 1375w, 1318w, 1280w, 1216m, 1109w, $1093w$, $1043m$, $1029w$, $884w$, $847w$, $754m$, $700m$. 1 H-NMR: 10.34 (s, NH); 8.35 (d, $J = 8.4$, 1 arom. H); $7.46 - 7.10$ $(m, 12 \text{ arom. H})$; 6.94 (td, $J = 8.4, 1.1, 1 \text{ arom. H}$); 6.69 (s, NH); 5.21 (s, PhCH₂O); 3.57, 3.37 (AB, $J = 13.6$, PhCH₂C); 1.76 (s, Me). ¹³C-NMR: 178.6, 168.6, 153.8 (3s, 3 CO); 139.7, 136.3, 135.7 (3s, 3 arom. C); 132.8, 130.0, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 127.3, 126.8, 122.1, 120.2 (10d, 14 arom. CH); 66.9 (t, PhCH₂O); 61.3 (s, PhCH₂C); 41.0 $(t, \text{PhCH}_2\text{C})$; 23.3 (q, Me) ; 1 arom. C could not be detected. CI-MS: 450 $(10, [M + NH_4]^+)$, 434 $(28), 433$ $(100,$ $[M+1]^+$, 415 (26), 281 (48).

6.3. Benzyl {2-[({1-Methyl-2-[(1-{[(methyl)(phenyl)amino]carbonyl}cyclopentyl)amino]-2-oxo-1-(phenylethyl)ethyl]amino)carbonyl]phenyl]carbamate (23). According to GP 1, with 22 (0.470 g, 1.087 mmol) in THF (10 ml) , 7a $(0.240 \text{ g}, 1.196 \text{ mmol})$ in THF (5 ml) ; reaction time 72 h. The crude product was filtered, washed with cold hexane/Et₂O 1:1, and dried in vacuo: 0.544 g (79%) of 23. Colorless powder. M.p. 205.4 – 206.8°. IR: 3316s, 3063m, 3031m, 2954m, 2872m, 1733s, 1660s, 1632s, 1589s, 1525s, 1449s, 1375s, 1323s, 1279s, 1214s, 1135m, 1105m, $1093m$, $1042s$, $1029m$, $986m$, $885w$, $851w$, $756s$, $702s$. ¹H-NMR: 10.03 (s, NH); 8.36 (d, $J = 8.3$, 1 arom. H); 7.54 – 7.19 (m, 12 arom. H); 7.08 – 6.97 (m, 2 arom. H); 6.86 – 6.85 (m, 4 arom. H); 6.57, 5.94 (2s, 2 NH); 5.22 (s, $PhCH_2O$); 3.66, 2.86 (AB, J = 13.8, PhCH₂C); 3.18 (s, MeN); 2.36 – 2.26, 1.79 – 1.72, 1.66 – 1.63 (3m, (CH₂)₄); 1.47 (s, Me). 13C-NMR: 172.2, 172.0, 169.9, 153.4 (4s, 4 CO); 144.9, 139.8, 136.1, 135.6 (4s, 4 arom. C); 133.2, 130.8, 128.7, 128.65, 128.6, 128.5, 127.4, 127.3, 126.9, 126.7, 122.1, 120.4 (12d, 19 arom. CH); 120.5 (s, 1 arom. C); 67.7 (s, PhCH₂C); 67.0 (t, PhCH₂O); 62.3 (s, (CH₂)₄C); 41.5 (t, PhCH₂C); 40.9 (q, MeN); 38.8, 38.5, 24.1, 24.0 (4t, $(CH₂)₄$); 23.6 (q, Me). ESI-MS: 655 (100, $[M + Na]$ ⁺). Anal. calc. for C₃₈H₄₀N₄O₅ (632.77): C 72.13, H 6.37, N 8.85; found: C 72.46, H 6.43, N 8.68.

6.4. Compound 24. According to GP 4, hydrogenolysis of 23 (0.250 g, 0.395 mmol) in MeOH (30 ml) yielded 0.190 g (96%) of 24. Colorless powder. M.p. 218.1 - 218.5°. IR: 3468m, 3348s, 3058w, 3026w, 2959m, 2870w, 1659s, 1635s, 1593w, 1577m, 1523s, 1493s, 1452m, 1371m, 1327w, 1263m, 1234w, 1160w, 1095m, 1026w, 985w, 799w, 745m, 700m. ¹ H-NMR ((D6)DMSO): 7.88, 7.56 (2s, 2 NH); 7.38± 7.32, 7.24 ± 7.11 (2m, 12 arom. H); 6.70 $(dd, J = 8.2, 0.7, 1$ arom. H); 6.48 $(id, J = 7.9, 0.9, 1$ arom. H); 6.21 $(s, NH₂)$; 3.61, 3.08 $(AB, J = 13.5, PhCH₂)$; 3.28 (s, MeN); 2.34 - 2.28, 2.16 - 2.09, 2.05 - 1.95, 1.61 - 1.54 $(4m, (CH₂)₄)$; 1.35 (s, Me). ¹³C-NMR $((D₆)DMSO)$; 173.1, 172.4, 168.7 (3s, 3 CO); 149.2, 145.6, 137.4 (3s, 3 arom. C); 131.5, 130.4, 128.5, 127.6, 126.7, 126.0, 125.7, 116.0 (8d, 13 arom. CH); 115.5 (s, 1 arom. C); 114.4 (d, 1 arom. CH); 66.4 (s, PhCH2C); 59.2 (s, (CH2)4C); 38.9 (q, MeN) ; 38.7 (t, PhCH₂); 36.3, 36.1, 24.2, 23.9 (4t, (CH₂)₄); 21.1 (q, Me). ESI-MS: 521 (100, [M + Na]⁺), 391 (40)

6.5. cis-10',22'-Dibenzyl-10',22'-dimethyl-10',11',22',23'-tetrahydro-6'H,8'H-dispiro[cyclopentane-1,7'-dibenzo[h,r][1,4,7,11,14,17]hexaazacycloicosine-19',1"-cyclopentane]-6',9',12',18',21',24'(5'H,17'H,20'H)-hexone (25), 1-{[2-Methyl-1-oxo-2-(4-oxoquinazolin-3(4H)-yl)-3-phenylpropyl]amino}-N-phenylcyclopentanecarboxamide (26) , and 4'-Benzyl-4',5'-dihydro-4'-methylspiro[cyclopentane-1,2'(6'H)-[1H]imidazo[1,2-a][1,4]benzodiazepine]-1',6'-dione (27). According to GP 6, with 24 (0.193 g, 0.388 mmol), in toluene (120 ml), 100°; reaction time 3 min. CC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 150 : 1 to 50 : 1) yielded 0.075 g (50%) of **25**, 0.065 g (32%) of **26**, and traces of 27.

Data of 25: Colorless microcrystals. M.p. 270° (decomp.). IR: 3364m, 3031w, 2940m, 2926s, 2855m, 1681s, 1651s, 1603m, 1583m, 1523s, 1444s, 1371w, 1268s, 1170w, 1127w, 905w, 749m, 708w. ¹ H-NMR ((D6)DMSO, 360 K): 9.80 (s, 2 NH); 7.91 (d, $J = 8.1$, 2 arom. H); 7.72 (s, 2 NH); 7.64 (s, 2 NH); 7.46 - 7.37 (m, 4 arom. H); 7.25 - 7.10 (m, 12 arom. H); 3.82, 3.12 (AB, J = 13.3, 2 PhCH₂); 2.26 - 2.21, 2.09 - 2.01, 1.72 - 1.63 (3m, 2 (CH₂)₄); 1.32 (s, 2 Me). 13C-NMR ((D6)DMSO): 173.6, 173.5, 167.3 (3s, 6 CO); 137.0, 136.1 (2s, 6 arom. C); 130.6, 130.0, 127.8, 126.3, 124.0 (5d, 18 arom. CH); 67.4 (s, 2 (CH₂)₄)C); 60.0 (s, 2 PhCH₂C); 38.7 (t, 2 PhCH₂); 35.9, 23.8 (2t, $2 (CH₂)₄$); 22.6 (q, 2 Me). ESI-MS: 783 (100, $[M + Na]$ ⁺), 511 (33), 419 (17), 392 (29).

Recrystallization from THF/toluene/MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ yielded crystals of 25 suitable for X-ray crystalstructure determination.

Data of 26: Colorless crystals. M.p. 280° (decomp.). IR: 3448w, 3296m, 2950w, 1683s, 1665s, 1651s, 1603w, 1583m, 1520s, 1446m, 1363w, 1272m, 1167w, 1128w, 748m, 705m. ¹H-NMR: 9.17 (s, PhNHCO); 8.30 - 8.27 (m, 1 arom. H); 7.86 - 7.80 $(m, 2 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.78 - 7.73 $(m, 2 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.65 - 7.62 $(m, 1 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.53 - 7.47 $(m, 1 \text{ arom. H})$ H); 7.45 (s, NH); 7.31 - 7.21 (m, 1 arom. H); 7.16 - 6.99 (m, 4 arom. H); 6.76 - 6.72 (m, 2 arom. H); 5.77 (s, $N=CH$); 3.88, 3.17 (AB, J = 13.5, PhCH₂); 2.52 - 2.45, 2.31 - 2.22, 2.03 - 1.95, 1.85 - 1.75 (4m, (CH₂)₄); 1.70 (s, Me). ¹³C-NMR: 171.9, 171.3, 162.4 (3s, 3 CO); 147.6 (s, 1 arom. C); 142.9 (d, N=CH); 138.9 (s, 1 arom. C); 135.2 (d, 1 arom. CH); 134.2 (s, 1 arom. C); 130.3, 128.6, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6, 127.0, 123.8 (7d, 12 arom. CH); 121.7 (s, 1 arom. C); 120.5 (d, 1 arom. CH); 68.4 (s, $(CH_2)_4C$); 66.2 (s, PhCH₂C); 38.7 (t, PhCH₂); 38.4, 37.5, 24.7, 24.6 (4t, $(CH₂)₄$; 23.0 (q, Me).

Recrystallization from Et₂O/CH₂Cl₂ yielded crystals of 26 suitable for X-ray crystal-structure determination.

Data of 27. Recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O/hexane yielded a crystal of 27 suitable for X-ray crystalstructure determination.

7. Synthesis of 2-Amino-N-{1-[({2-[(1-{[(methyl)(phenyl)amino]carbonyl}cyclopentyl)amino]-2-oxoethyl} amino)carbonyl]cyclopentyl}benzamide (31). 7.1. Methyl [({1-[(2-{[(Benzyloxy)carbonyl]amino}benzoyl)amino]cyclopentyl]carbonyl)amino]acetate (28). According to GP 5, with 9 (0.160 g, 0.418 mmol), methyl glycinate hydrochloride (0.063 g, 0.502 mmol), TBTU (0.134 g, 0.418 mmol), HOBt (0.057 g, 0.418 mmol), EtN(i-Pr)₂ (0.14 ml, 0.837 mmol), and CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml); reaction time 2 h. CC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 300:1) gave 0.186 g (98%) of 28. Colorless powder. M.p. 176.7 - 177.4°. IR: 3366s, 3313s, 3275s, 3066m, 2955s, 2874w, 1754s, 1738s, 1666s, 1627s, 1600s, 1586s, 1538s, 1511s, 1449s, 1300m, 1206s, 1179m, 1046s, 1057m, 771w, 700w. ¹ H-NMR: 10.42 (s, NH); 8 .35 $(dd, J = 8.7, 0.7, 1$ arom. H); 7.46 - 7.31 $(m, 7 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.08 $(t, J = 5.1, NH)$; 7.03 - 6.98 $(m, 1 \text{ arom. H})$; 6.66 $(s,$ NH); 5.18 (s, PhCH₂); 4.00 (d, J = 5.3, CH₂CO); 3.66 (s, MeO); 2.44 - 2.35, 2.12 - 2.03, 1.88 - 1.69 (3m, (CH₂)₄). 13C-NMR: 173.8, 170.3, 169.3, 153.6 (4s, 4 CO); 139.9, 136.2 (2s, 2 arom. C); 132.9, 128.5, 128.2, 126.8, 122.0, 120.0 $(6d, 9 \text{arom. CH})$; 119.8 (s, 1 arom. C); 67.9 (s, $(CH_2)_1C$); 66.8 (t, PhCH₂); 52.2 (g, MeO); 41.5 (t, CH₂CO); 36.9, 24.2 $(2t, (CH_2)_4)$. ESI-MS: 476 (100, $[M + Na]^+$). Anal. calc. for $C_{24}H_{27}N_3O_6$ (453.48): C 63.57, H 6.00, N 9.26; found: C 63.78, H 5.91, N 9.18.

7.2. [({1-[(2-{[(Benzyloxy)carbonyl]amino}benzoyl)amino]cyclopentyl}carbonyl)amino]acetic Acid (29). According to $GP3$, with 28 (0.186 g, 0.410 mmol) in MeOH (10 ml) and 1N NaOH (2.05 ml, 2.05 mmol); reaction time 90 h: 0.134 g (74%) of 26. Colorless powder. M.p. 203.2 ± 203.8. IR: 3300s, 3035w, 2961m, 2874m, 1736s, 1657s, 1628s, 158 8s, 1521s, 1449s, 1304w, 1281w, 1244s, 1214s, 1108w, 1038m, 914w, 765w, 754w, 699m. ¹ H-NMR $((D_6)$ DMSO): 12.36 (br. s, OH); 10.55, 8.61 (2s, 2 NH); 8.09 (dd, J = 8.3, 0.9, 1 arom. H); 7.88 – 7.83 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.51 - 7.32 (m, 5 arom. H, NH); 7.14 - 7.09 (m, 1 arom. H); 5.15 (s, PhCH₂); 3.71 (d, J = 4.4, CH₂CO); 2.21 -2.17, 2.04 - 1.99, 1.66 - 1.50 $(3m, (CH_2)_4)$. ¹³C-NMR $((D_6)$ DMSO): 177.2, 176.7, 171.0, 155.8 $(4s, 4 \text{ CO})$; 138.0, 137.2 (2s, 2 arom. C); 133.4, 129.7, 129.5, 129.1 (4d, 7 arom. CH); 125.2 (s, 1 arom. C); 125.1, 122.7 (2d, 2 arom. CH); 68.9 (s, $(CH_2)_4C$); 68.2 (t, PhCH₂); 45.1 (t, CH₂CO); 37.9, 25.5 (2t, $(CH_2)_4$). ESI-MS: 462 (100, $[M + Na]^+$).

7.3. Benzyl {2-[({1-[({2-[(1-{[(Methyl)(phenyl)amino]carbonyl}cyclopentyl)amino]-2-oxoethyl}amino) carbonyl]cyclopentyl]amino)carbonyl]phenyl]carbamate (30). According to GP 1, with 29 (0.127 g, 0.289 mmol) in THF (8 ml) , 7a $(0.06 \text{ g}, 0.300 \text{ mmol})$ in THF (2 ml) ; reaction time 90 h. CC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH) 200 : 1 to 20 : 1) gave 0.172 g (93%) of 30. Colorless powder. M.p. 214.5 - 215.3°. IR: 3392m, 3335s, 3035w, 2952m, 2875w, 1728s, 1678s, 1655s, 1633s, 1594s, 1533s, 1453s, 1374m, 1328m, 1281m, 1244m, 1219s, 1106m, 1044m, $1028w, 985w, 954w, 849w.$ ¹H-NMR: 10.34 (s, 1 NH); 8.41 (d, $J = 8.4$, 1 arom. H); 7.66 (d, $J = 7.9$, 1 arom. H); 7.52 $(td, J = 8.5, 1.2, 1 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.42 – 7.30 $(m, 6 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.18 – 6.87 $(m, 5 \text{ arom. H}, \text{NH})$; 6.41 (br. s, NH); 5.20 (s, $PhCH₂$); 3.51 – 3.43 (m, CH₂CO); 3.10 (s, MeN); 2.26 – 2.04, 1.97 – 1.85, 1.81 – 1.69, 1.62 – 1.52 (4m, 2 (CH₂)₄). 13C-NMR: 173.6, 172.6, 169.7, 168.3, 153.5 (5s, 5 CO); 144.8, 139.9, 135.8 (3s, 3 arom. C); 132.2, 129.0, 128.5, 128.4, 127.5, 126.9, 126.7, 122.0, 119.8 (9d, 14 arom. CH); 118.9 (s, 1 arom. C); 67.4, 67.2 (2s, 2 (CH₂)₄C); 67.0 (t, PhCH₂); 43.5 (t, CH₂CO); 40.4 (q, MeN); 38.4, 37.1, 24.2, 24.0 (3t, 2 (CH₂)₄). ESI-MS: 662 (100, $[M + Na]$ ⁺).

7.4. Compound 31. According to GP 4, hydrogenolysis of 30 (0.163 g, 0.255 mmol) in MeOH (10 ml); reaction time 24 h. CC (AcOEt/hexane 2:1) yielded 0.091 g (71%) of 31. Colorless powder. M.p. $158.2 - 159.5^{\circ}$. IR: 3448m, 3332s, 2956s, 2873m, 1636s, 1592s, 1525s, 1495s, 1450m, 1372m, 1260m, 750m, 703m. ¹ H-NMR $((D_6)$ DMSO): 8.33 (s, 1 NH); 7.65 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.2, 1 arom. H); 7.27 – 7.06 (m, 6 arom. H, NH); 6.71 – 6.69 (m, 1 arom. H); 6.59 – 6.54 (m, 1 arom. H); 6.21 (s, NH₂); 3.40 – 3.37 (m, CH₂CO); 3.07 (s, MeN); 2.21 – 2.12, 1.83 – 1.79, 1.65 - 1.63, 1.54 - 1.53 (4m, 2 (CH₂)₄). ¹³C-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 175.3, 173.5, 170.8, 169.2 (4s, 4 CO); 148.9, 144.9 (2s, 2 arom. C); 133.1, 129.5, 128.3, 127.4, 126.9, 117.6, 117.0 (7d, 9 arom. CH); 116.0 (s, 1 arom. C); 67.6, 67.3 $(2s, 2 \text{ (CH}_2)_4C)$; 43.3 (t, CH₂CO); 40.6 (q, MeN); 38.6, 37.4, 24.7, 24.5 (4t, 2 (CH₂)₄). ESI-MS: 528 (100, $(M + \text{Na}]^+$).

1728 HE

All attempts to cyclize 31 under the conditions of the 'direct amide cyclization' failed, and only decomposition was observed.

8. Synthesis of Methyl 2-[({2-[(2-Amino-1-oxopropyl)amino]phenyl]carbonyl)amino]-2-methylpropanoate (36). 8.1. Methyl 2-[(1-Oxo-2-{[(benzyloxy)carbonyl]amino}propyl)amino]benzoate (32). Methyl anthranilate $(0.300 \text{ g}, 1.98 \text{ mmol})$, Z-alanine $(0.4386 \text{ g}, 2.18 \text{ mmol})$, and $[(1H-\text{benzotriazol-1-yl)oxy}]tris(pyrrolidino)$ phosphonium hexafluorophosphate (PyBOP) (1.13 g, 2.18 mmol) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml). At r.t., $EtN(i-Pr)_2$ (0.75 ml, 4.35 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 24 h. Then, the solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and the product was purified by CC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 500 :1 to 250 :1): 0.433 g (61%) of 32. ${}^{1}H\text{-NMR}: 11.50 \text{ (s, NH)}$; 8.69 (dd, $J = 8.5, 1.5, 1 \text{ arom. H}$); 8.03 (dd, $J = 8.0, 1.6, 1 \text{ arom. H}$); 7.57 – 7.52 (m, 1 arom. H); 7.37 – 7.26 (m, 5 arom. H); 7.10 (td, $J = 8.2, 1.2, 1$ arom. H); 5.47 (br. s, NH); 5.16 (s, PhCH₂); 4.47 – 4.43 (m, MeCH) ; 3.89 (s, MeO); 1.53 (d, $J = 7.1$, MeCH). ¹³C-NMR: 171.2, 171.0, 168.4 (3s, 3 CO); 140.9 (s, 1) arom. C); 134.5, 130.8, 128.4, 128.0 (4d, 7 arom. CH); 126.9 (s, 1 arom. C); 122.8, 120.3 (2d, 2 arom. CH); 115.3 $(s, 1 \text{ arom. C})$; 67.0 (t, PhCH_2) ; 52.2 (q, MeO) ; 51.8 (d, MeCH) ; 18.9 (q, MeCH) .

8.2. 2-[(1-Oxo-2-[[(benzyloxy)carbonyl]amino]propyl)amino]benzoic Acid (33). According to GP 3, with 32 (0.359 g, 1.008 mmol) in MeOH (12 ml) and 1N NaOH (2 ml, 2 mmol); reaction time 24 h: 0.233 g (68%) of **33.** ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 11.70 (s, NH); 8.61 (d, J = 8.4, 1 arom. H); 8.03 – 7.94 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.63 – 7.58 (m, 1 arom. H); 7.39 – 7.21 (m, 4 arom. H); 7.17 (td, J = 8.3, 1.2, 1 arom. H); 5.12, 5.03 (AB, J = 12.7, PhCH₂); 4.18 – 4.09 (m, MeCH); 1.36 (d, J = 7.3, MeCH). ¹³C-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 171.7, 169.2, 156.0 (3s, 3 CO); 140.6, 136.8 (2s, 2 arom. C); 134.0, 131.0, 128.2, 127.6, 127.5, 122.6, 119.4 (7d, 9 arom. CH); 116.1 (s, 1 arom. C); 65.6 (t, PhCH₂); 51.9 (d, MeCH); 17.2 (q, MeCH).

8.3. Benzyl N-(2-{[2-({[2-(Dimethylamino)-1,1-dimethyl-2-oxoethyl]amino}carbonyl)phenyl]amino}-1 methyl-2-oxoethyl)carbamate (34). According to GP 1, with 33 (0.250 g, 0.730 mmol) in THF (15 ml), 7c $(0.080 \text{ g}, 0.730 \text{ mmol})$ in THF (5 ml); reaction time 48 h. CC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 200 :1 to 150 :1) gave 0.195 g (59%) of 34. Colorless powder. M.p. 165.8 - 166.5°. IR: 3299m, 3064w, 2936w, 1723s, 1710s, 1665s, 1649s, 1622s, 1587m, 1515s, 1447s, 1406m, 1389m, 1326w, 1298m, 1245s, 1174w, 1119m, 1069m, 915w, 909w, 756m, 701w. $1H-NMR: 11.60 (s, 1 NH); 8.54 (dd, J = 8.5, 0.9, 1$ arom. H); 7.96 $(s, 1 NH); 7.54 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.4, 1$ arom. H); 7.43 $(id, J = 8.5, 1.4, 1 \text{ arom. H});$ 7.36 - 7.31 $(m, 5 \text{ arom. H});$ 7.07 $(id, J = 8.5, 1.1, 1 \text{ arom. H});$ 5.59 - 5.57 $(m, 1 \text{ NH});$ 5.17, 5.09 $(AB, J = 6.7, PhCH₂)$; 4.41 $(t, J = 6.7, MeCH)$; 3.08 $(s, Me₂N)$; 1.78, 1.76 $(2s, Me₂C)$; 1.49 $(d, J = 7.0,$ MeCH). 13C-NMR: 173.1, 171.1, 167.2, 155.7 (4s, 4 CO); 139.2, 136.4 (2s, 2 arom. C); 132.4, 128.4, 128.0, 126.8, 123.1, 121.4 (6d, 9 arom. CH); 121.0 (s, 1 arom. C); 66.8 (t, PhCH₂); 57.4 (s, Me₂C); 51.7 (d, MeCH); 38.3 (q, $M_{\rm e}$ N); 24.0, 23.9 (2q, Me₂C); 19.3 (q, MeCH). ESI-MS: 477 (21, [M + Na]⁺), 455 (100, [M + 1]⁺), 410 (78), 348 (30), 281 (19).

8.4. 2-[(2-Amino-1-oxopropyl)amino]-N-[2-(dimethylamino)-1,1-dimethyl-2-oxoethyl]benzamide (35). According to GP 4, hydrogenolysis of 34 (0.190 g, 0.418 mmol) in MeOH (15 ml); reaction time 2 h: 0.110 g (82%) of 35. Colorless powder. M.p. 205.1 - 206.4°. IR: 3276s, 2985m, 2932m, 1627s, 1583s, 1513s, 1446s, 1393s, 1363m, 1320m, 1289m, 1206m, 1119s, 1055w, 948w, 904w, 794w, 759m, 706w. ¹H-NMR: 11.60 (s, 1 NH); 8.58 (d, J = 8.2, 1 arom. H); 7.76 (s, 1 NH); 7.53 - 7.43 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.08 (t, J = 7.4, 1 arom. H); 3.65 - 3.63 (m, MeCH); 3.10 (s, Me, N) ; 1.79 (s, Me, C) ; 1.43 $(d, J = 6.7, MeCH)$. ¹³C-NMR: 175.2, 173.1, 167.6 (3s, 3 CO); 137.9 $(s, 1 \text{ arrow. C})$; 131.9, 127.1, 123.1 (3d, 3 arom. CH); 122.1 (s, 1 arom. C); 121.4 (d, 1 arom. CH); 57.0 (s, Me₂C); 51.3 (d, MeCH); 37.9 $(q, \text{Me}_2\text{N})$; 24.8 $(q, \text{Me}_2\text{C})$; 21.0 (q, MeCH) . ESI-MS: 343 (100, $[M + \text{Na}]^+$), 321 (34, $[M + 1]^+$), 276 (22).

8.5. Compound 36. According to GP 6, with 35 (0.100 g, 0.312 mmol), in toluene (100 ml), 100°; reaction time 10 min. CC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 150 : 1) yielded 0.027 g (28%) of 36. White powder. M.p. 154.9 – 156.0°. IR: 3402w, 3300m, 3062w, 2986m, 2933w, 1740s, 1660s, 1643s, 1598m, 1580m, 1507s, 1444s, 1387m, 1327m, 1292m, $1270m$, $1221w$, $1194w$, $1152s$, $1002w$, $923w$, $792w$, $756m$. 1 H-NMR: 11.36 (s, $1 NH$); 8.55 (d, $J = 8.1$, 1 arom. H); 7.51 - 7.43 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.08 (td, $J = 8.1, 1.0, 1$ arom. H); 6.78 (s, 1 NH); 3.78 (s, MeO); 3.64 - 3.59 (m, MeCH); 1.67 (s, Me₂C); 1.41 (d, J = 6.96, MeCH). ¹³C-NMR; 175.1, 174.9, 168.3 (3s, 3 CO); 138.5 (s, 1 arom. C); 132.2, 126.8, 122.9 (3d, 3 arom. CH); 122.4 (s, 1 arom. C); 121.7 (d, 1 arom. CH); 57.0 (s, Me₂C); 52.8 (q, MeO); 52.0 (d, MeCH); 24.7 (q, Me_2C) ; 21.7 $(q, MeCH)$. CI-MS: 309 $(18, [M+2]^+)$, 308 $(100, [M+1]^+)$, 191 (5).

9. X-Ray Crystal-Structure Determinations of Compounds 12, 17, 20, 25, 26, and 27 (see Tables 1 and 2 and Figs. $1-6$ ²). All measurements were performed on a *Nonius KappaCCD* area-detector diffractometer [53] by

²) CCDC-265726 - 265731 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: 44-(0)1223-336033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk)).

using graphite-monochromated $M \alpha K_a$ radiation (λ 0.71073 Å) and an Oxford Cryosystems Cryostream-700 cooler. Data reduction was performed with HKL Denzo and Scalepack [54]. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. In the case of 26, an absorption correction based on the multi-scan method [55] was applied. The data collection and refinement parameters are given in Tables 1 and 2, and views of the molecules are shown in Figs. $1 - 6$. Each structure was solved by direct methods using SIR92 [56], which revealed the positions of all non-H-atoms. The non-H-atoms were refined anisotropically.

Table 1. Crystallographic Data of Compounds 12, 17, 20, and 27

In the case of 26 , the asymmetric unit contains two molecules of the peptide plus one molecule of CH_2Cl_2 . The five-membered ring of one peptide molecule is disordered. Two equally occupied positions were defined for one CH2 group of the ring. Bond-length restraints were applied to all bonds involving the disordered atoms. HELVETICA CHIMICA ACTA – Vol. 88 (2005) 1731

The crystal of 20 was very weakly diffracting and the results are of low precision. The asymmetric unit contains two molecules of the peptide plus one molecule of MeOH.

In the case of 25, only one very poor crystal could be isolated, which was weakly diffracting and gave broad reflection profiles. The structure unambiguously reveals the nature of the peptide molecule, but the geometric parameters are very imprecise. The asymmetric unit contains one molecule of the peptide plus a region, which is presumably occupied by solvent molecules. It was not possible to model the solvent, or even find sensible residual electron-density peaks representing the solvent atoms. Therefore, the contribution of the solvent molecules to the intensity data was removed by using the SQUEEZE [57] routine of the PLATON [58] program. Omission of the solvent molecules leaves one cavity of 323 Å³ per unit cell. The number of electrons contributing to each void in the structure was calculated by the SQUEEZE routine to be *ca*. 52 e. Allowing for two MeOH and two H2O molecules per cavity and unit cell yields 56 e and this was used in the subsequent calculation of the empirical formula, formula weight, density, linear absorption coefficient and $F(000)$. The

nature of the solvent is purely an assumption used to approximate the correct values for the parameters just described, but would be consistent with the solvent from which the crystals were obtained and the positions of the residual electron-density peaks observed in the void.

The amide H-atoms in 17 and 27, as well as the OH and MeO H-atoms in 20, were placed in the positions indicated by difference electron-density maps and, except for the OH H-atom of one peptide molecule of 20, their positions were allowed to refine together with individual isotropic displacement parameters. All of the remaining H-atoms in the structures were placed in geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model, where each H-atom was assigned a fixed isotropic displacement parameter with a value equal to 1.2 U_{eq} of its parent atom (1.5 U_{eq} for the Me groups in 25 and 26).

For 12, 17, 20, and 27, each structure was refined on F using full-matrix least-squares procedures, which minimized the function $\Sigma w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2$. The refinement of the structures of 25 and 26 was carried out on F^2 by minimizing the corresponding function based on F^2 . Corrections for secondary extinction were applied, except for 26. Compound 26 has crystallized in a polar, non-centrosymmetric space group, but one which dictates that the crystals are racemic. The absolute structure was confirmed by refinement of the absolute-structure parameter [59], which yielded a value of $-0.03(4)$. Neutral-atom-scattering factors for non-H-atoms were taken from [60a], and the scattering factors for H-atoms were taken from [61]. Anomalous dispersion effects were included in F_c [62]; the values for f' and f'' were those of [60b]. The values of the mass-attenuation coefficients are those of [60c]. The calculations for 25 and 26 were performed by using the SHELXL97 program [63], while those for the remaining structures were carried out by using the teXsan crystallographic software package [64].

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Heimgartner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1991, 30, 238.
- [2] D. Obrecht, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 1981, 64, 482; D. Obrecht, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 1987, 70, 102.
- [3] P. Wipf, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 1986, 69, 1153; P. Wipf, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 1987, 70, 354; P. Wipf, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 1988, 71, 258.
- [4] M. Sahebi, P. Wipf, H. Heimgartner, Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 2999.
- [5] J. M. Villalgordo, H. Heimgartner, *Tetrahedron* **1993**, 49, 7215.
- [6] C. B. Bucher, A. Linden, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 1995, 78, 935; C. B. Bucher, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 1996, 79, 1903.
- G. Suter, S. A. Stoykova, A. Linden, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 2000, 83, 2961.
- [8] R. A. Breitenmoser, T. R. Hirt, R. T. N. Luykx, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 2001, 84, 972.
- [9] K. A. Brun, A. Linden, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 2002, 85, 3422.
- [10] P. Wipf, H. Heimgartner, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1990, 73, 13.
- [11] W. Altherr, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Zürich, 1994.
- [12] R. Luykx, C. B. Bucher, A. Linden, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 1996, 79, 527; R. T. N. Luykx, A. Linden, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 2003, 86, 4093.
- [13] N. Pradeille, H. Heimgartner, J. Pept. Sci. 2003, 9, 826.
- [14] J. Lehmann, A. Linden, H. Heimgartner, Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 8721; J. Lehmann, A. Linden, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 1999, 82, 888; J. Lehmann, A. Linden, H. Heimgartner, Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 5359; J. Lehmann, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 1999, 82, 1899.
- [15] R. A. Breitenmoser, H. Heimgartner, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2001, 84, 768.
- [16] D. Obrecht, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 1984, 67, 526; D. Obrecht, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 1990, 73, 221.
- [17] H. Heimgartner, F. S. Arnhold, S. P. Fritschii, K. N. Koch, J. E. F. Magirius, A. Linden, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1999, 36, 1539.
- [18] J. M. Villalgordo, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 1997, 80, 748.
- [19] K. N. Koch, A. Linden, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 2000, 83, 233; K. N. Koch, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 2000, 83, 1881; K. N. Koch, A. Linden, H. Heimgartner, Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 2311.
- [20] B. Iliev, A. Linden, H, Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 2003, 86, 3215.
- [21] I. Dannecker-Dörig, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Zürich, 1995.
- [22] F. S. Arnhold, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Zürich, 1997.
- [23] T. Jeremic, A. Linden, H. Heimgartner, Chem. Biodiv. 2004, 1, 1730; T. Jeremic, A. Linden, H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta 2004, 87, 3056.

1732

- [24] T. Jeremic, A. Linden, K. Moehle, H. Heimgartner, Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 1871.
- [25] J. N. Lambert, J. P. Mitchell, K. D. Roberts, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2001, 471.
- [26] F. Cardenas, J. M. Caba, M. Feliz, P. Lloyd-Williams, E. Giralt, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 9554.
- [27] K. Sato, U. Nagai, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1986, 1231 and refs. cit. therein.
- [28] M. Feigel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 181.
- [29] M. Feigel, G. Lugert, Liebigs. Ann. Chem. 1989, 1089.
- [30] J. P. Mazaleyrat, M. Wakselman, Int. J. Pept. Protein Res. 1987, 30, 622.
- [31] F. Pinnen, G. Zanotti, G. Lucente, Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 5201.
- [32] F. Pinnen, A. Di Muro, G. Zanotti, G. Lucente, Int. J. Pept. Protein Res. 1987, 30, 388.
- [33] S. Cerrini, F. Gavuzzo, G. Lucente, F. Pinnen, Int. J. Pept. Protein Res. 1988, 31, 447.
- [34] S. Cerrini, F. Gavuzzo, G. Lucente, F. Pinnen, G. Zanotti, Int. J. Pept. Protein Res. 1989, 34, 6.
- [35] S. Cerrini, F. Gavuzzo, G. Lucente, G. Luisi, F. Pinnen, L. Radics, Int. J. Pept. Protein Res. 1991, 38, 289. [36] A. Calcagni, M. Kajtar-Predy, G. Lucente, G. Luisi, F. Pinnen, L. Radics, D. Rossi, Int. J. Pept. Protein Res.
- 1993, 42, 84. [37] G. Zanotti, F. Pinnen, G. Lucente, S. Cerrini, E. Gavuzzo, F. Mazza, Int. J. Pept. Protein Res. 1983, 22, 410.
- [38] H. Brockmann, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1960, 323.
- [39] C. W. Holzapfel, J. M. Koekemoer, M. S. Van Dyk, S. Afr. J. Chem. 1986, 39, 75.
- [40] R. Kobayashi, Y. Samejima, S. Nakajima, K. Kawai, S. Udagawa, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1987, 36, 1347.
- [41] K. Komatsu, H. Shigemori, J. Kobayashi, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 6189.
- [42] T. Jeremic, Diploma Thesis, University of Zürich, 1999.
- [43] B. Liberek, J. Zarebski, in 'Peptide 1980', Ed. K. Brunfeldt, Scriptor, Copenhagen, 1981, p. 236.
- [44] J. M. Humphrey, A. R. Chamberlin, *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 2243.
- [45] C. K. Johnson, 'ORTEP II, Report ORNL-5138', Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, 1976.
- [46] J. Bernstein, R. E. Davis, L. Shimoni, N.-L. Chang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1995, 34, 1555.
- [47] A. M. Felix, *J. Org. Chem.* **1974**, 39, 1427.
- [48] E. Aguilar, A. I. Meyers, Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 2477.
- [49] A. Witt, J. Bergman, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 2784.
- [50] M. Sahebi, Diploma Thesis, University of Zürich, 1987.
- [51] P. Wipf, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Zürich, 1987.
- [52] M. Rens, L. Ghosez, Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 3765.
- [53] R. Hooft, KappaCCD COLLECT Software, Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands, 1999.
- [54] Z. Otwinowski, W. Minor, Methods Enzymol. 1997, 276, 307.
- [55] R. H. Blessing, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1995, 51, 33.
- [56] A. Altomare, G. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi, M. C. Burla, G. Polidori, M. Camalli, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1994, 27, 435.
- [57] P. van der Sluis, A. L. Spek, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1990, 46, 194.
- [58] A. L. Spek, PLATON, Program for the Analysis of Molecular Geometry, University of Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2005.
- [59] a) H. D. Flack, G. Bernardinelli, Acta Crystallogr., Sect A 1999, 55, 908; b) H. D. Flack, G. Bernardinelli, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2000, 33, 1143.
- [60] a) E. N. Maslen, A. G. Fox, M. A. O'Keefe, in 'International Tables for Crystallography', Ed. A. J. C. Wilson, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992, Vol. C, Table 6.1.1.1, p. 477 - 486; b) D. C. Creagh, W. J. McAuley, in 'International Tables for Crystallography', Ed. A. J. C. Wilson, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992, Vol. C, Table 4.2.6.8, p. 219 - 222; c) D. C. Creagh, J. H. Hubbell, in −International Tables for Crystallography×, Ed. A. J. C. Wilson, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992, Vol. C, Table 4.2.4.3, p. 200 - 206.
- [61] R. F. Stewart, E. R. Davidson, W. T. Simpson, J. Chem. Phys. 1965, 42, 3175.
- [62] J. A. Ibers, W. C. Hamilton, Acta Crystallogr. 1964, 17, 781.
- [63] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL97, Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- [64] teXsan: Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software, Version 1.10, Molecular Structure Corporation, The Woodlands, Texas, 1999.